	Case 5:09-cv-03259-RMW Document 33 Filed 03/25/10 Page 1 of 3		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5	<u>*E-FILED - 3/25/10*</u>		
6			
7			
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	RANDALL DEAN HOLMES,) No. C 09-3259 RMW (PR)		
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER DIRECTING) PLAINTIFF TO LOCATE		
13	v.) UNSERVED DEFENDANTS		
14	D. CASTELLAW, Warden; et al.,		
15	Defendants.)		
16			
17	Plaintiff filed a <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 25,		
18	2009, the court ordered service of the stated cognizable claims upon named defendants. On		
19	January 4, 2010, the court received eight summons which were returned unexecuted.		
20	Specifically, defendants Correctional Officer French, Correctional Officer Wilber, Correctional		
21	Officer Navarro, and Correctional Officer Lujan were not served because the facility needed		
22	more information because there were multiple staff members with the same name. Also,		
23	Correctional Officer Cordich, Correctional Officer J. Bowman, and Correctional Officer		
24	Hishiowe were not served because the facility had no information on them. Accordingly, these		
25	eight defendants have not been served.		
26	Here, plaintiff's complaint has been pending for over 120 days, and thus, absent a		
27	showing of "good cause," is subject to dismissal without prejudice as to the unserved defendants.		
28	See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). In cases wherein the plaintiff proceeds in forma pauperis, the "officers		
	Order Directing Plaintiff to Locate Unserved Defendants P:\PRO-SE\SJ.Rmw\CR.09\Holmes259.4m.wpd		

of the court shall issue and serve all process." 28 U.S.C. 1915(d). The court must appoint the Marshal to effect service, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(2), and the Marshal, upon order of the court, must serve the summons and the complaint, see Walker v. Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415, 1422 (9th Cir. 1994), overruled on other grounds by Sandin v. Connor, 515 U.S. 472 (1995). Although a plaintiff who is incarcerated and proceeding in forma pauperis may rely on service by the Marshal, such plaintiff "may not remain silent and do nothing to effectuate such service;" rather, "[a]t a minimum, a plaintiff should request service upon the appropriate defendant and attempt to remedy any apparent defects of which [he] has knowledge." Rochon v. Dawson, 828 F.2d 1107, 1110 (5th Cir. 1987). If the marshal is unable to effectuate service through no fault of his own, for example, because plaintiff failed to provide sufficient information or because the defendant is not where plaintiff claims, and plaintiff is informed, plaintiff must seek to remedy the situation or face dismissal. See Walker, 14 F.3d at 1421-22.

Because plaintiff has not provided sufficient information to allow the Marshal to locate and serve the above named defendants, plaintiff must remedy the situation or face dismissal of his claims against said defendants without prejudice. See Walker, 14 F.3d at 1421-22. Such additional information may include a first name or first initial, the defendant's current assigned unit or assigned unit at the time of the allegations, or other more identifying information so that the Marshal can locate and determine the particular defendant to be served.

Accordingly, plaintiff must provide the court with accurate and current information for defendants Correctional Officer French, Correctional Officer Wilber, Correctional Officer Navarro, Correctional Officer Lujan, Correctional Officer Cordich, Correctional Officer J.

Bowman, and Correctional Officer Hishiowe such that the Marshal is able to effect service upon them. If plaintiff fails to provide the court with the accurate and current information so that the Marshal can effect service, within **thirty (30) days** of the date this order is filed, plaintiff's claim against the above named defendants will be dismissed pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; the dismissal will be without prejudice to plaintiff refiling his complaint with such information.

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Royal Mald
2	DATED: <u>3/24/10</u>	DONALD M. WHYTE
3		RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		